Evaluated By: evaluator's name

Evaluating : person (role) or moment's name (if applicable)

Dates : start date to end date

SITE EVALUATION - Year of Added Competency in Palliative Care

Breadth

	Stro Disa		Moderately Agree			Stro Agı	,
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
The instructor has a strong command of his or her area and discusses different approaches to patients and treatment.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Clarity

	Stro Disa		derate Agree	,	Strongly Agree		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
The instructor explains him/herself clearly and identifies the important aspect about patients and treatment.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Interaction

	Stro Disa		derate Agree	,	Strongly Agree		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
The instructor is friendly towards the resident and encourages resident questions and discussion.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Supervision

	Stro Disa			derate Agree		Strongly Agree		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
The instructor provides suitable practice opportunities for the resident and objectively identifies strengths and deficiencies in resident performance.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Enthusiasm

	, ,	Moderately Agree			3,3		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
The instructor seems to enjoy teaching and stimulated interest for this field.	0	0	0	0	0		0

Summary Assessment

	Stro Disa		Moderately Agree			Strongly Agree		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Considering all aspects of instruction, how would you rate each instructor in terms of overall effectiveness as a teacher?	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Rotation Organization and Content

Please indicate your agreement or disagreement with each of the following statements as they apply to this rotation.

	Strongly Disagree			derate Agree	,	Stro Agı	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
A. The rotation was well organized with efficient use of time.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
B. The opportunities for a resident to participate in patient care were meaningful and sufficient.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
C. The major learning objectives were clearly described and followed.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D. A variety of patient problems representative of the discipline were seen.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

^{*} indicates a mandatory response

E. The rotation yielded returns in proportion to its time allocation in the cur	riculun	n					0		0			
Were made to feel part of this experience, an integrated member of a team rather												
than a "fifth wheel".					0	0	0	0	0			
G. The assessments were a fair and valid evaluation of your competence.					0	0	0	0	0			
H. The teaching was effectively delivered					0	0	0	0	0			
I. The teaching content was useful and relevant					0	0	0	0	0			
J. Considering all components, how would you rate the overall organization content of this experience?	and				0	0	0	0	0			
Overall Summary Assessment												
	1 Poor	2	3	4 Satisfa				4 Satisfactory		6	7 Excel	
Considering all aspects of this experience, how would you rate its overall effectiveness as a learning experience?	0	0	0	С)	0	0)			
*Please comment on strengths or weaknesses that particularly apply to this	s exper	rience	2.									
The following will be displayed on forms where feedback is enable (for the evaluator to answer)	d											
*Did you have an opportunity to meet with this trainee to discuss their perfo Yes No	ormand	ce?										
(for the evaluee to answer)												
*Did you have an opportunity to discuss your performance with your precep Yes No	otor/sup	oervis	or?									